



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,239	01/03/2006	Adam Alexander Tebbutt	1171/43247/160-PCT-US	3586

279 7590 05/11/2009  
Trexler, Bushnell, Giangiorgi,  
Blackstone & Marr, Ltd.  
105 West Adams Street  
Suite 3600  
Chicago, IL 60603

EXAMINER
----------

OSTRUP, CLINTON T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3771

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/11/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/538,239	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TEBBUTT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> CLINTON OSTRUP	<b>Art Unit</b> 3771	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CLINTON OSTRUP. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Linda Palomar. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 74.

Identification of prior art discussed: No.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Palomar asked for clarification as to why the Office Action Summary indicated claim 74 as allowable and then claim 74 was rejected in the Office Action. The examiner informed Ms. Palomar the indication of allowable subject matter in the Office Action Summary was in error, as upon performing an updated search, prior art was found meeting the limitations of claim 74.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Clinton Ostrup/ Examiner, Art Unit 3771	/Justine R Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771
---	--